

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

Cer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,215	11/26/2003	Michael O. Pollcy	TI-36036 (1962-08100)	8507
23494 7590 05/02/2007 TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			EXAMINER .	
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			GHULAMALI, QUTBUDDIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

••							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/723,215	POLLEY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Qutub Ghulamali	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 N</u>	ovember 2003.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 17-24</u> is/are rejected.	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 17-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>12-16, 25, 26</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	:					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	•	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1:85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document		an Na					
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>							
application from the International Burea	•	ed in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.					
*		· ·					
Attachment(s)		· ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Claim Objections

1. Claims 1, 2 and 18 objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, line 7, recites "the antennas". Shouldn't it recite the plurality of antennas, see line 3 in the claim.

Claim 1, line 8, recites "the highest communication quality". Shouldn't it recite "a highest communication quality"? Similarly, in line 12, "highest" requires to be inserted before communication quality.

Claim 2, line 3, recite "the antenna". It is not clear which antenna in reference to claim it is referring to

Claim 18, line 5-6, recite "the antenna". It is not clear which antenna it is referring to in the claim.

Claim 18, line 11, "the amount of power" needs to be replaced with "an amount of power".

Appropriate corrections are required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2611.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 9-11, 17, 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Raleigh et al (USP 6,144,711).

Regarding claim 9, Raleigh teaches, transmitting data from a first wireless device to a second wireless device using a plurality of antennas, wherein each antenna communicates with the second wireless device via an associated communication pathway (col. 2, lines 1-15);

determining channel characteristics (within Channel ID block 130, the characteristics of the digital communication channel are estimated, the estimated channel values consist of entries in a matrix for each SOP bin, the matrix contains complex values representing the magnitude of the spatial channel within the SOP bin from one transmit antenna element to one receive antenna element, the transmitted information among the various sub-channels available for transmission are determined based upon the measured communication quality of the space frequency information that carries the symbol stream) associated with each of the antennas (col. 8, lines 1-9; col. 5, lines 61-67; col. 6, lines 1-5);

on a per sub-channel basis, computing a weighting vector for each antenna based on the channel characteristics (col. 2, lines 1-15; col. 6, lines 42-67);

for each communication pathway, combining a transmission signal with the weighting vector to form a weighted transmission signal (col. 6, lines 42-40; col. 8, lines 40-48); and

Application/Control Number: 10/723,215

Art Unit: 2611

transmitting the weighted transmission signal from the second wireless device to the first wireless (from one device to another) device via a plurality of communication pathways (col. 6, lines 42-50; col. 7, lines 35-39).

Regarding claim 10, Raleigh discloses data transmission from one wireless device to a plurality of devices and receives data from a plurality of wireless devices (col. 2, lines 1-8).

As per claim 11, Raleigh discloses each weighting vector specifies a relative transmission power for each sub-channel (col. 8, lines 63-67).

As to claim 17, Raleigh discloses various sub-channels are characterized by the signal-to-noise ratio (col. 18, lines 8-25).

Regarding claim 23, Raleigh discloses a method comprising: for each of a plurality of antennas, determining communication quality of each sub-channel of a communication pathway, the communication pathway comprising a plurality of sub-channels (a "sub-channel" is a combination of a bin in a substantially orthogonalizing procedure (SOP)) (col. 1, lines 31-59; col. 2, lines 1-15);

for each sub-channel, selecting at least one antenna (selects at least one spatial direction associated with an antenna, see fig. 24) for data transmission based on the communication quality of said antenna (col. 26, lines 49-52; col. 27, lines 45-55); and concurrently transmitting data via the plurality of antennas across the plurality of sub-channels (col. 27, lines 64-67).

As per claim 24, Raleigh discloses determining a signal-to-noise ratio for each antenna and for each sub-channel (col. 18, lines 8-26); and wherein, for each sub-

Art Unit: 2611

channel, selecting at least one antenna comprises selecting only the antenna having the highest signal-to-noise ratio (col. 27, lines 46-55).

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-8, 18-22, would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the claim objections, set forth in this Office action.
- 5. Claims 12-16 and 25-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

**US Patents:** 

US Patent (4,063,174) to Gupta et al.

US Patent (6,118,773) to Todd.

US Patent (5,710,995) to Akaiwa et al.

US Patent (5,465,271) to Hladik et al.

US Pub. (2006/0109926) to Jalali et al.

US Pub. (2005/0047517) to Georgios et al.

US Pub. (2004/0184570) to Thomas et al.

US Patent (2005/0201477) to Cho et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,215

Art Unit: 2611

Publications:

Benyassine, A.; Akansu, A.N., "Optimal subchannel structuring and basis selection for discrete multicarrier modulation", IEEE, Global Telecommunications Conference, 13-17 November 1995, Page(s) 97 – 101.

Page 6

Jian Yang; Roy, S.; "On joint transmitter and receiver optimization for multiple-input-multiple-output (MIMO) transmission systems", IEEE, Transactions on communications, Volume 42, Issue 12, Dec. 1994, Page(s) 3221 – 3231.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Qutub Ghulamali whose telephone number is (571) 272-3014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

QG. April 17, 2007.